

USDOL/OALJ Reporter

[Henderson v. Allied Radiological Control](#), 91-ERA-39 (Sec'y Nov. 24, 1992)
Go to: [Law Library Directory](#) | [Whistleblower Collection Directory](#) | [Search Form](#) |
[Citation Guidelines](#)

DATE: November 24, 1992
CASE NO. 91-ERA-39

IN THE MATTER OF
YVONNE HENDERSON,
 COMPLAINANT,
 v.
ALLIED RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL,
RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: THE SECRETARY OF LABOR

ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AND DISMISSING CASE

This case is before me for review of the Recommended Decision and Order Approving Settlement of the Chief Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this case under the employee protection provision of the Energy Reorganization Act, as amended (ERA), 42 U.S.C. § 5851 (1988). The ALJ recommends approval of the settlement agreement.

I have limited my review of the agreement to determining whether its terms are a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of Complainant's allegation that Respondent violated the ERA.[1] See *Poulos v. Ambassador Fuel Oil Co., Inc.*, Case No. 86-CAA-1, Sec. Ord., Nov. 2, 1987, slip op. at 2. Upon review of the terms of the agreement and the record, I find that the agreement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and I approve it. Accordingly, the complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice. Settlement Agreement ¶ 1.
SO ORDERED.

LYNN MARTIN
Secretary of Labor

Washington, D.C.

[ENDNOTES]

[1] The parties signed separate settlement agreements that are identical in their operative terms. The separate filings will be treated as one agreement. See *Nunn v. Duke Power Co.*, Case No. 84-ERA-27, Ord. of Dismissal, Sept. 29, 1989, slip op. at 2.